HONORABLE JAMES L. ROBART 1 3 4 5 6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 AT SEATTLE 8 SUSAN CHEN, et. al., CIVIL ACTION NO. 16-cv-01877-JLR 9 Plaintiffs, 10 MODEL AGREEMENT REGARDING 11 VS. DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND 12 NATALIE D'AMICO, et al., [PROPOSED] ORDER 13 Defendants. 14 The parties hereby stipulate to the following provisions regarding the discovery of 15 electronically stored information ("ESI") in this matter: 16 **General Principles** 17 18 19 20

- An attorney's zealous representation of a client is not compromised by conducting discovery in a cooperative manner. The failure of counsel or the parties to litigation to cooperate in facilitating and reasonably limiting discovery requests and responses raises litigation costs and contributes to the risk of sanctions.
- The proportionality standard set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1) must be applied in each case when formulating a discovery plan. To further the application of the proportionality standard in discovery, requests for production of ESI and related responses should be reasonably targeted, clear, and as specific as possible.

## **ESI Disclosures**

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Within 30 days after this Order is entered, each party shall disclose:

ESI AGREEMENT AND ORDER - 1 16-cv-01877-JLR

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP 701 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 6100

SEATTLE, WA 98104-7043 PHONE: (206) 903-8800 FAX: (206) 903-8820

-

5

10 11

12

1314

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

ESI AGREEMENT AND ORDER - 2 16-cv-01877-JLR

- 1. <u>Custodians.</u> The five custodians most likely to have discoverable ESI in their possession, custody or control. The custodians shall be identified by name, title, connection to the instant litigation, and the type of the information under his/her control.
- 2. <u>Non-custodial Data Sources.</u> A list of non-custodial data sources (e.g. shared drives, servers, etc.), if any, likely to contain discoverable ESI.
- 3. <u>Third-Party Data Sources.</u> A list of third-party data sources, if any, likely to contain discoverable ESI (e.g. third-party email and/or mobile device providers, "cloud" storage, etc.) and, for each such source, the extent to which a party is (or is not) able to preserve information stored in the third-party data source.
- 4. <u>Inaccessible Data.</u> A list of data sources, if any, likely to contain discoverable ESI (by type, date, custodian, electronic system or other criteria sufficient to specifically identify the data source) that a party asserts is not reasonably accessible under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(2)(B). [Section (C)(3)(a)(i) below sets forth data sources and ESI which are not required to be preserved by the parties. Those data sources and ESI do not need to be included on this list.]

## C. Preservation of ESI

- The parties acknowledge that they have a common law obligation to take reasonable and proportional steps to preserve discoverable information in the party's possession, custody or control. With respect to preservation of ESI, the parties agree as follows:
- 1. Absent a showing of good cause by the requesting party, the parties shall not be required to modify the procedures used by them in the ordinary course of business to back-up and archive data; provided, however, that the parties shall preserve all discoverable ESI in their possession, custody or control.
- 2. All parties shall supplement their disclosures in accordance with Rule 26(e) with discoverable ESI responsive to a particular discovery request or mandatory disclosure where that data is created after a disclosure or response is made (unless excluded under (C)(3) or (D)(1)-(2) below).

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 Activities undertaken in compliance with the duty to preserve information are protected ESI AGREEMENT AND ORDER - 3

16-cv-01877-JLR

- 3. Absent a showing of good cause by the requesting party, the following categories of ESI need not be preserved:
  - Deleted, slack, fragmented, or other data only accessible by forensics. a.
  - b. Random access memory (RAM), temporary files, or other ephemeral data that are difficult to preserve without disabling the operating system.
  - On-line access data such as temporary internet files, history, cache, cookies, and c. the like.
  - d. Data in metadata fields that are frequently updated automatically, such as lastopened dates (see also Section (E)(5)).
  - Back-up data that are substantially duplicative of data that are more e. accessible elsewhere.
  - f. Server, system or network logs.
  - g. Data remaining from systems no longer in use that is unintelligible on the systems in use.
  - h. Electronic data (e.g. email, calendars, contact data, and notes) sent to or from mobile devices (e.g., iPhone, iPad, Android, and Blackberry devices), provided that a copy of all such electronic data is routinely saved elsewhere (such as on a server, laptop, desktop computer, or "cloud" storage).

#### D. **Privilege**

- With respect to privileged or work-product information generated after the filing of the complaints, parties are not required to include any such information in privilege logs. For clarity, the plaintiffs need not log otherwise responsive privileged or work product information generated after the date any complaint was filed by any of them against any of the defendants in this action, and the defendants need not log otherwise responsive privileged or work product information generated after the date any complaint was filed against him, her, or it by any of the plaintiffs in this action.
  - DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP 701 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 6100

SEATTLE, WA 98104-7043 PHONE: (206) 903-8800 FAX: (206) 903-8820

7

10 11

12

131415

17

16

1819

2021

2223

24 25

25 26

27

from disclosure and discovery under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(3)(A) and (B).

3. Information produced in discovery that is protected as privileged or work product shall be immediately returned to the producing party, and its production shall not constitute a waiver of such protection, if: (i) such information appears on its face to have been inadvertently produced or (ii) the producing party provides notice within 15 days of discovery by the producing party of the inadvertent production.

# E. ESI Discovery Procedures

- 1. <u>On-site inspection of electronic media.</u> Such an inspection shall not be permitted absent a demonstration by the requesting party of specific need and good cause or by agreement of the parties.
- 2. <u>Search methodology.</u> The parties shall timely attempt to reach agreement on appropriate custodians and search terms, or an appropriate computer- or technology-aided methodology, before any such effort is undertaken. The parties shall continue to cooperate in revising the appropriateness of the search terms or computer- or technology-aided methodology. In the absence of agreement on appropriate search terms, or an appropriate computer- or technology-aided methodology, the following procedures shall apply:
- a. A producing party shall disclose the search terms or queries, if any, and methodology that it proposes to use to locate ESI likely to contain discoverable information. The parties shall meet and confer to attempt to reach an agreement on the producing party's search terms and/or other methodology.
- b. If search terms or queries are used to locate ESI likely to contain discoverable information, a requesting party is entitled to no more than 5 additional terms or queries to be used in connection with further electronic searches absent a showing of good cause or agreement of the parties. The 5 additional terms or queries, if any, must be provided by the requesting party within 30 days of receipt of the producing party's disclosure to the requesting party of its search terms/queries and methodology.
  - c. Focused terms and queries should be employed; broad terms or queries,

such as product and company names, generally should be avoided. Absent a showing of good

cause, each search term or query returning more than 250 megabytes of data are presumed to be

overbroad, excluding Microsoft PowerPoint files, image and audio files, and similarly large file types.

d. The producing party shall search both non-custodial data sources and ESI maintained by the custodians identified above.

- 3. Format. The parties agree that ESI will be produced to the requesting party with searchable text, in a format to be decided between the parties. Acceptable formats include, but are not limited to, native files, multi-page TIFFs (with a companion OCR or extracted text file), single-page TIFFs (only with load files for e-discovery software that includes metadata fields identifying natural document breaks and also includes companion OCR and/or extracted text files), and searchable PDF. Unless otherwise agreed to by the parties, files that are not easily converted to image format, such as spreadsheet, database and drawing files, should be produced in native format.
- 4. <u>De-duplication.</u> The parties may de-duplicate their ESI production across custodial and non-custodial data sources after disclosure to the requesting party.
- 5. <u>Metadata fields.</u> If the requesting party seeks metadata, the parties agree that only the following metadata fields need be produced, unless otherwise agreed: document type; custodian and duplicate custodians; author/from; recipient/to, cc and bcc; title/subject; file name and size; original file path; date and time created, sent, modified and/or received; and hash value.

1 IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD. 2 DATED: February 14, 2018. 3 DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP OGDEN MURPHY WALLACE, PLLC 4 5 /s/ Shawn Larsen-Bright /s/ Aaron P. Riensche, per email auth. Shawn Larsen-Bright, WSBA # 37066 Aaron P. Riensche, WSBA No. 37202 6 Nathan Alexander, WSBA #37040 Geoff J. M. Bridgman, WSBA No. 25242 T. Augustine Lo, WSBA # 48060 Daniel F. Shickich, WSBA No. 46479 Sarah Cox, WSBA #46703 901 5th Ave., Ste. 3500 Seattle, WA 98164-2008 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 6100 8 Seattle, WA 98104 Tel. 206-447-7000 Tel. 206-903-8800 9 E: ariensche@omwlaw.com E: larsen.bright.shawn@dorsey.com E: gbridgman@omwlaw.com 10 E: alexander.nathan@dorsey.com E: dshickich@omwlaw.com Counsel for Defendants City of Redmond, E: lo.augustine@dorsey.com 11 E: cox.sarah@dorsey.com Natalie D'Amico, Redmond Police Court-Appointed Attorneys for Plaintiff Susan Department, Ron Gibson, and Kristi Wilson 12 Chen, individually, and as FRCP 17 Guardian Ad Litem for Plaintiff JL 13 14 ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL MYERS & COMPANY, PLLC 15 /s/ Michael David Myers, per email auth. /s/ Scott M. Barbara, per email auth. 16 Michael David Myers, WSBA No. 22486 Scott M. Barbara, WSBA No. 20885 17 1530 Eastlake Avenue East Torts Division, Seattle 800 5th Ave., Ste. 2000 Seattle, Washington 98102 18 Tel. (206) 398-1188 Seattle, WA 98104 E: mmyers@myers-company.com Tel. (206) 389-2033 19 Attorneys for Plaintiff Naixiang Lian, E: scottb2@atg.wa.gov individually, and as FRCP 17 Guardian Ad Counsel for DSHS, Kimberly Danner, 20 Litem for Plaintiff LL Timothy Earwood, Jill Kegel, Bill Moss, 21 Kevin Quigley, and Tom Soule 22 23 24 25 26 27 ESI AGREEMENT AND ORDER - 6

16-cv-01877-JLR

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP
701 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 6100
SEATTLE, WA 98104-7043
PHONE: (206) 903-8800
FAX: (206) 903-8820

**ORDER** 

Based on the foregoing, IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: this 18th day of February 2018

THE HONORABLE JAMES L. ROBART UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

ESI AGREEMENT AND ORDER - 7 16-cv-01877-JLR

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP
701 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 6100
SEATTLE, WA 98104-7043
PHONE: (206) 903-8800
FAX: (206) 903-8820

### 1 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on this date I caused to be served the foregoing on the following counsel of record by the method indicated: 3 Aaron P. Riensche, WSBA No. 37202 Via Messenger Geoff J. M. Bridgman, WSBA No. 25242 Via Facsimile 4 Daniel F. Shickich, WSBA No. 46479 Via U.S. Mail 5 Via Electronic Mail OGDEN MURPHY WALLACE, PLLC 901 5th Ave., Ste. 3500 ∇ia ECF Notification 6 Seattle, WA 98164-2008 T: 206-447-7000 7 F: 206-447-0215 8 E: ariensche@omwlaw.com E: gbridgman@omwlaw.com 9 E: dshickich@omwlaw.com Counsel for Defendants City of Redmond, 10 Natalie D'Amico, Redmond Police Department, Ron Gibson, and Kristi Wilson 11 Michael David Myers, WSBA No. 22486 Via Messenger MYERS & COMPANY, PLLC 12 Via Facsimile 1530 Eastlake Avenue East Via U.S. Mail 13 Seattle, Washington 98102 Via Electronic Mail Via ECF Notification Tel. (206) 398-1188 14 E: mmyers@myers-company.com Attorneys for Plaintiff Naixiang Lian, 15 individually, and as FRCP 17 Guardian Ad 16 Litem for LL Scott M. Barbara, WSBA No. 20885 Via Messenger 17 Via Facsimile ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL Via U.S. Mail Torts Division, Seattle 18 800 5<sup>th</sup> Ave., Ste. 2000 Via Electronic Mail ∀ Via ECF Notification Seattle, WA 98104 19 Phone: (206) 389-2033 / 206-464-7352 20 Fax: (206) 587-4229 E: scottb2@atg.wa.gov 21 CC: JenniferO@ATG.WA.GOV CC: MonicaT@ATG.WA.GOV 22 Counsel for DSHS, Kimberly Danner, Timothy Earwood, Jill Kegel, Bill Moss, 23 Kevin Quigley, and Tom Soule 24 Dated this 14<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2018. 25 26 /s/Molly Price Molly Price 27 ESI AGREEMENT AND ORDER - 8 DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP 701 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 6100 16-cv-01877-JLR SEATTLE, WA 98104-7043 PHONE: (206) 903-8800

FAX: (206) 903-8820